IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO: 23927 of 2004

Between:

M.Nagalaxmi, W/o.Srinivasa Rao,

Lecturer,Govt. Jr.College, Kunavaram,
Khammam Dist.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Government Junior College,
Kunavaram, Khammam Dist, rep by its Principal.
2 The Director of Intermediate Education Govt of A.P.
Nampalli, Hyderabad.
3 The Government of A.P., rep by its Secretary
Department of Higher Education, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondent in not continuing the petitioner as History Lecturer as per the agreement made between the petitioner and respondents as illegal, arbitrary and in violation of principles of natural justice and consequently, direct the respondents to continue the petitioner as History Lecturer till the end of agreement period i.e. 28-2-2005.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.S.ABHAYA KUMAR SAGAR

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: MR.S.S.PRASAD

Counsel for the Respondents 2 and 3: GP FOR HIGHER EDUCATION

The Court at the stage of admission made the following:

HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.C.BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO.23927 OF 2004

-

-

ORDER:

-

-

The present writ petition is filed seeking direction from this Court to direct the respondents to continue the petitioner as History Lecturer, as per the agreement made between the petitioner and respondents.

2. It is alleged that the 1st respondent is not allowing the writ petitioner to continue as History Lecturers, as per the contract entered in between the Management and the petitioner. As seen from the agreement of contract of service, the Writ petitioner is agreed to perform the teaching work in accordance with the curriculum of the University for the courses from 16.09.2004 to 28.02.2005. In pursuance of that contract, the first respondent is bound to permit or allow the writ petitioner to continue as per the terms of the agreement till 28.02.2005.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the respondent No.2 did not oppose the same, since the service of contract is going to be ended by 28.02.2005.

4. Therefore, the writ petition is disposed of directing the 1st respondent to permit the petitioner to continue him as History Lecturer as per agreement till 28.02.2005. No order as to costs.

22nd December, 2004. K.C.BHANU, J

Note:

Operative portion by wire.

B/o.

SKM

TO

1 The Principal, Govt. Junior College,
Kunavaram, Khammam Dist.
2 The Director of Intermediate Education Govt. of A.P.
Nampalli, Hyderabad.
3 The Secretary, the Government. of A.P., Department of Higher

Education, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

4 Two C.Cs. to G.P. for Higher Education, High Court Buildings,

High Court of A.P., Hyderabad (OUT)

5 Two C.D. Copies.